

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

	Respondents	Percentage
Respondent Type		
Faculty	64	13.6
Staff	97	20.6
Student	309	65.7
Total	470	100.0

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

	Respondents	Percentage
Should the use of e-cigarettes be covered by the college smoking policy?		
No	160	34.0
Yes	310	66.0
Total	470	100.0

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

		Respondents	Percentage
Respondent Type	Should the use of e-cigarettes be covered by the college smoking policy?		
Faculty	No	12	18.8
	Yes	52	81.3
	Total	64	100.0
Staff	Should the use of e-cigarettes be covered by the college smoking policy?		
	No	23	23.7
	Yes	74	76.3
	Total	97	100.0
Student	Should the use of e-cigarettes be covered by the college smoking policy?		
	No	125	40.5
	Yes	184	59.5
	Total	309	100.0
Total		470	100.0

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
1	"They expel an almost odorless water vapor that looks like smoke, but isn't." - http://www.ecigaretteschoice.com/pages/How-it-Works.html Seeing as this is one of the main reasons individuals complain about smoking, I feel as though E-Cigarettes should not be considered by the college smoking policy
2	"they typically contain cartridges of nicotine and other chemicals"
3	-promotes negative habit -unable to distinguish real from e-variety from a distance
4	1)It's still a fire hazard 2)second hand smoke 3)smoking is just bad for you 4)loitering 5)do we really want the next generation of goucher students to end up like a bunch of chiminis with lung cancer?
5	1. The "smoker" still exhales vapor into the air. These vapors may be harmful to others. The information from the FDA outlines some of the harmful chemicals found in these e-cigarettes. 2. It may be difficult for others to detect the difference. Smokers may eventually find it easier to get away with smoking in restricted areas if others tend to assume that they are smoking e-cigarettes when they in fact aren't. 3. Goucher should support the health of its community and not make an exception for these e-cigarettes which clearly are not any healthier than normal cigarettes.
6	A cigarette is a cigarette with an "e" in front of it or not.
7	A: There is very little information available on the health risks involved. B: It could open the door in the future to a reversal on the conventional policy. C: People smoking often stand in the way of pedestrian traffic when such rules are not in place, making navigation difficult. D: I know nothing about the quality of the air exhaled by an e-smoker, but if it is anything like that of a conventional cigarette, I don't want to have to go anywhere near it. Once more information is available, and once I've experienced what the quality of the exhaled air is like, I may change my mind, but for now, I would not support excluding e-cigarettes from the smoking policy.
8	After reading the FDA article it did not appear that research has studied whether the second hand smoke risks are the same and it is not fair to expose unwitting bystanders to that risk when there is already a policy in place to protect them.
9	Allowing e-cigarettes defeats the purpose of the smoking policy, and opens the door for future exceptions.
10	Although E-cigarettes are touted as being safer than traditional cigarettes, they still contain nicotine, and should therefore be treated the same way as traditional cigarettes.
11	Although I don't have strong feelings about this issue, it seems more consistent to have the use of e-cigarettes be covered by the college smoking policy.
12	Although e-cigarettes are technically not cigarettes, the product simulates the dangerous and addicting habit of smoking and , in my opinion, does nothing to help a person quit their addiction. This product constitutes yet another one of society's frustrating loopholes to avoid being responsible for one's actions and as such, does not need accomodation or support by the Goucher community.
13	Although it appears that second-hand smoke is not an issue, allowing these products may drive students toward this addictive product. Also, enforcing the smoking policy will be more difficult if one has to distinguish one type of cigarette from another.
14	Although it may not generate any smoke, it still contaminates the air with nicotine vapor that can be inhaled by other people in the vicinity. Plus, we don't want to encourage smoking in general, at least inside the buildings.
15	Although they may not produce smoke, they most likely emit some scent that could be bothersome to others. If it is possible for people to smoke real cigarettes outside, then they can handle smoking e-cigarettes outside as well.
16	Although they may not release smoke, the vapor does contain nicotine and is spread in the air. In the future this may result into second hand health problems. Also "odor from E-Cigarettes is barely noticeable" as the web site says, this does not mean that have many people smoking it in closed areas will not create an odd smell.
17	Although they seem to emit only a water vapour, I am concerned about the health effects overall, and at this time I can't condone their use at the College.
18	Any smoke is obnoxious to my nostrils!
19	Anything that deals with nicotine equals addiction!
20	As as smoker, I believe that the ban on smoking in public areas was/is focused on "2nd hand smoke". The e-cigarettes do not pose the same risks to non-smokers as a regular cigarette would, therefore, they should not fall under the same guidelines.
21	As far as I know, the vapor does not create any smell and is harmless to those around it.
22	As long as there is no threat to another person or something catching on fire.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
23	At the harshest they should be treated as regular cigarettes, but I think the college could be more lenient than that. I read the FAQ page on the link, and all the usual problems of smoking indoors and around non-smokers, like the smell and second hand smoke, don't occur with the e-cigarette. Excluding the personal health effects to the user of an e-cigarette, they seem to me no different than something like a glad plug-in in terms of their potential effect on indoor use and non-smokers.
24	Because I hate cigarettes.
25	Because even though we aren't completely yet sure, according to the FDA page and the Wikipedia article (which cites good sources, including the FDA page), of what effects the e-cigarettes may have on users and secondhand e-smokers, I am a) personally wary of anything that claims to help addicted people without conducting clinical trials, and b) resembles cigarettes in any way. I am also, personally, wary of anything that contains nicotine, as it has been proven to be harmful to the human body, and anything that deposits chemicals contained in antifreeze into the human body (see FDA page). I also really really hate the smell of cigarettes or anything resembling them, AND have major allergies to said products. If they were to be smoked anywhere near my dorm room or near where I was eating or working I would have an allergic reaction and it would disturb my working and living environment. Please include e-cigarettes in the college smoking policy, and prevent them from being used in buildings or within 25 feet of them! This is very important to me.
26	Because it includes chemicals that are not good for the individual.
27	Because it's essentially the same drug.
28	Because its not real smoke!
29	Because non-smoker's don't want nicotine trapped inside our walls
30	Because smoke of any sort is harmful to ones health and the people around them. Also I would like to see the 25 foot rule on buildings more strictly enforced.
31	Because thats why they were invented.
32	Because the ban on smoking is in effect for only 2 serious concerns. 1 being fire hazard, 2 being the right to each member of the community to avoid smoke inhalation if they so choose. As this product is not a fire hazard and does not produce smoke, vapor being exponentially less dense than smoke and causing no 2nd hand health problems, there is no reason to ban it. The ban is not to protect people from the sight of cigarettes and is even less so a regulation of personal choice. As reason 2 stated it is a promotion of personal choice. This being the case it seems ridiculous that this issue jas been put to a vote. The minority affected by the at least frustrating and at most enslaving addiction to nicotine is subject to enough scrutiny and limitations as it is. Both by the federal govt and society at large. In the name of this frustrated minority the only civilized course of action would be to research the product, find that it does not limit the rights of non smokers, and put out a notice alerting the community that the product will now be allowed inside buildings and vehicles. If the administration felt as if the exercise of utilizing the product indoors, most likely in class, could be somehow disturbing to the education environment it would be their perogative to limit its use for that reason. To create the possibility that a potentially uninformed and certainly majority non-smoking population could groundlessly constrain any further the rights of the often nicotine addicted smoker is unnecessary and unfair. That's why.
33	Because the danger of this product to others is not yet known, we should regard them as ordinary cigarettes.
34	Because the health risks to the users and the people around is still unknown for. Preliminary studies have indicated they could be harmful to the smoker and nonsmoker.
35	Because the main problem people tend to have with cigarettes is the smell and/or potential health risk. E-Cigarettes present neither of these to anyone other than the user.
36	Because these cigarettes do not produce second hand smoke, I think they should be encouraged on campuses. I don't want to have to deal with other people's bad health choices. This is a way we can allow personal choise without infringment in other people's rights at the same time.
37	Because they are not depositing any actual smoke. They also increase smokers ability to focus, because in class they do not have to worry about needing a cigarette. I am not a smoker myself, yet I can see the benefit to e-Cigarettes.
38	Because they are not harmful to the body.
39	Because they are still dangerous health hazard to the smoker and the people around them, and Goucher College should not encourage smoking of any kind, we pride ourselves on living in a healthy environment that is respectful of everyone.
40	Because they are very similar to regular cigarettes.
41	Because they don't smell or set off smoke alarms!

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
42	Because they still contain nicotine and other potentially harmful chemicals. This is a new product and has not been tested. We don't know what potential dangers it poses to users and also the people around the users. It is still a form of smoking and should be treated as such.
43	Because they're still cigarettes
44	Because they're still cigarettes.
45	Because we don't know how safe they are.
46	Because we shouldn't be encouraging a dangerous and STUPID habit.
47	Because if they're not and are allowed in non-smoking situations I feel like they could become potential distractions. It's also that they look extremely real so it can still be unnerving for non-smokers to see people using them inside buildings. Finally it might encourage real smokers to bend the smoking policy more than they normally would because it creates a medium between smokers and non-smokers.
48	Buildup of nicotine vapors may not be healthful in a contained space over time either.
49	Cigarettes are banned in public due to their second-hand smoke effect. It doesn't sound like this will be an issue with e-cigarettes.
50	Cigarettes are cigarettes. Plus people who smoke those things look like idiots.
51	Definitely not allowed inside, but if they don't create secondhand smoke, I'm not sure that the 25 foot perimeter outside is necessary.
52	Doesn't sound like second-hand smoke is that much of an issue.
53	Don't allow a new distraction
54	E cigarettes are permitted on airplanes and in malls or other public places. They emit vapor, not smoke, that is not harmful to those around you. They are also promoted as a useful alternative to quit smoking, as you can gradually reduce the amount of nicotine in the flavor cartridges and use them to satisfy the need to hold something like a cigarette. They don't smell and are less harmful than real cigarettes.
55	E-Cigarettes are still smoking, just without the second-hand smoke effects. They should be covered in the same manner as traditional cigarettes.
56	E-Cigarettes are typically used as a device to help people stop smoking, and are generally less toxic than cigarettes. Do we really want to discourage people from using this device, which may help them quit smoking?
57	E-Cigarettes encourage smoking and nicotine addiction in another form. They should be banned for health reasons like smoking in general.
58	E-cigarettes are not harmful to the health of bystanders who are in contact directly or indirectly with the person who may chose to have one. Our health is the prime question of concern and e-cigarettes do not show signs of harming the health of anyone who does not participate directly; regardless of proximity or space.
59	E-cigarettes can increase nicotine addiction among young people and may lead kids to try other tobacco products, including conventional cigarettes, which are known to cause disease and lead to premature death. The products may contain ingredients that are known to be toxic to humans. Because clinical studies about the safety and efficacy of these products for their intended use have not been submitted to FDA, consumers currently have no way of knowing 1) whether e-cigarettes are safe for their intended use, or 2) about what types or concentrations of potentially harmful chemicals or what dose of nicotine they are inhaling when they use these products.
60	E-cigarettes do not cause smoke or unpleasant odor. They also do not disturb non smoking members of the community. "...they are absent thousands of additives and chemicals when compared to tobacco cigarettes..." This choice to smoke e-cigarettes is healthier, more economical and provides advantages to both non smokers and smokers alike.
61	E-cigarettes do not emit harmful chemicals to the surroundings, unlike real cigarettes and thus are not harmful to the community.
62	E-cigarettes do not have second hand smoke or even have smoke, so there should be no reason why we can't smoke them indoors.
63	E-cigarettes do not pose the same threat to others that tobacco smoke does, i.e., second-hand smoke, allergy risk or fire hazard, etc.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
64	E-cigarettes produce no smoke and no scent. They cause no harm to property, furniture, rooms, there isn't any fire or burning embers. The danger or issue they pose (i.e.- the reason normal cigarettes need a policy) is nonexistent when it comes to e-cigarettes. E-cigarettes are the equivalent of turning on a small flashlight. No danger, and no need to regulate their use.
65	E-cigarettes should not be aloud in Goucher vehicles or within 25 feet of buildings, but should be aloud in select campus buildings since the lack of smoke will bother less members of the Goucher community and the lack of smoke will not damage the buildings.
66	Effects are still unknown, and not everyone may be comfortable with this decision. Thus, its safer and easier for the college to keep them under set regulations until effects are clearly known and the college can make a more educated decision on how these would affect the community.
67	Electronic or not, they are still cigarettes and can be harmful to students and faculty members who are sensitive to such products. Personally, I suffer from asthma, and it's already hard enough to walk around outside with people smoking in every direction. The buildings are the only place where I know that I do not have to worry about my breathing, so I strongly believe that no exception should be made.
68	Encouraging people to quit smoking is amplified in effect by making the process of quitting padded with ease.
69	Even if they are not real cigarettes, they look real and even the perception of smoking in the buildings or near them is not a good idea.
70	Even if they do not harm other people, limiting any cigarette use in public places is a good deterrant for people from either continuing the habit or picking it up to begin with. Young people are especially influenced by their peers and if they see some students smoking these e-cigarettes it might persuade them to do so as well, which could be a jump off to traditional cigarettes (which are not only harmful to the person smoking but to those around them).
71	Even though the policy states no smoking 25 feet from the building, smokers gather on the patio that abuts to our office and the smoke drifts through the windows exposing us to second hand smoke. There should be NO smoking....From an ex-smoker.
72	Faced with the rising health care costs, the college should do <i>*NOTHING*</i> to give smokers' the incentive to continue their habit, which has been proven to lead to expensive life-long illnesses. My father is suffering from advanced lung cancer, and my brother and I suffer from the effects of the second-hand smoke we inhaled for our entire childhood, including allergy, asthma, and ENT problems. By allowing e-cigarettes, we tell our impressionable student body that we feel that smoking is an acceptable habit.
73	First of all, let me just say that there is way too much smoking that goes on at campus anyway, and I have always found that to be one of the least attractive features of Goucher College, a school that promotes healthy living and environmental awareness (bit of an oxy-moron I always thought). I still believe that Goucher should have a stronger focus on encouraging its students to quit smoking and restricting use of E-cigarettes is counter-intuitive to promoting healthier lifestyle habits amongst students. E-cigarettes are supposed to be a healthier form of smoking with both less harmful effects to the smoker and those around them. I believe that by bundling e-cigarettes into the same category as regular tobacco cigarettes, that it will provide no incentive to students for this healthier alternative.
74	First, some people might abuse it and pass a real cigarette for an e-cigarette. Second, inhaling nicotine in general is a bad habit and should not be encouraged or displayed in campus buildings. Thirds, and this is a personal consideration, I enjoy the break that I get while on campus buildings from seeing people put white sticks in their mouth as if it were some kind of accomplishment.
75	For the same reasons that normal cigarettes are not allowed.
76	From afar you might not be able to tell it is cigarette or an e-cigarette and this might bother employees and not look good to prospective students and families.
77	Given the FDA concerns in the link above, it seems like it would be a good idea to discourage their use by such means as making them inconvenient life-style choices.
78	Health concerns
79	Here's my opinion: any kind of smoking does damage to the smoker's health. Though it's unrealistic to expect no one here smokes, we should try to promote a community that is focused on health. Part of this promotion includes covering the e-cigarettes in the smoking policy and part of it involves creating smoking-cessation support groups on campus (although that is another discussion entirely). Also, if people are allowed to use e-cigarettes in our vehicles, buildings, or within 25 feet of a building, it would raise questions of equality among our visiting public. What should I think if I'm a smoker and from far away, see someone using an e-cigarette in

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
79	the Athenaeum? Not knowing that that's an e-cigarette, I might light up and cause serious damage to one of our premier buildings.
80	How will people know if someone is smoking an e-cigarette or not it will be easier for people to smoke inside buildings
81	I am guessing that these cigarettes also produce fumes and certain scents that, like real cigarettes, are unwelcomed to non-smokers. For this reason, they should follow the general campus policy for smoking, and be kept out of doors and away from windows.
82	I am hesitant to give either answer. It might make it harder for security to distinguish real cigarette use, and I don't know if there is any harmful second-hand vapor that could affect people -- but if it's not hurting anyone, then I guess people should be able to use them.
83	I assume the vapor that the user inhales is also released into the public air space for those passing by to inhale as well. No thanks!
84	I believe that people should be able to smoke e-cigarettes everywhere but academic buildings, because they would cause distractions in class. While I feel that e-cigarettes are a dangerous and unwise product for others to use, I don't feel that I should be able to decide whether other people use them as long as they don't affect my health. However, I do believe that the Health Center should make an effort to educate smokers about the dangerous health problems e-cigarettes cause.
85	I believe that they also smell like cigarettes which is my biggest issue with smoking around buildings and indoors. Although, if not then I don't have a problem with it since they don't create smoke.
86	I believe the smoking policy is to prevent others from being exposed to second-hand smoke. If e-cigarettes do not generate second-hand smoke, they don't need to be restricted.
87	I disapprove of any kind of smoking.
88	I do not support the idea of e-cigarettes in the classroom or in the library but anywhere else I wouldn't mind. Not that many people on campus would use them, I don't think, and in public gathering places like the Gopher Hole, lounges, etc. and even people's rooms I wouldn't have an issue with people using them.
89	I do not want to breathe the exhaled vapor of this item which may or may not be toxic.
90	I don't know a lot about e-cigarettes, but it really depends on how it affects others that do not smoke. Does it hold that horrible smell? How does it affect the air we breathe?
91	I don't know much about them, but if they don't produce smoke that is harmful to others, then I don't mind if people smoke inside, as long as I can't tell.
92	I don't think that smoking e-cigarettes should be allowed within Goucher vehicles or campus buildings. I think that the presence of these cigarettes in the classroom would be very disruptive. Also, while these may be "safer" cigarettes, they still deliver harmful chemicals into one's body, and I think that allowing their use in buildings only encourages this. Finally, I don't know all the details about the smoke in these cigarettes, but I have friends at Goucher who are allergic to cigarette smoke and since some form of smoke is still exhaled by the smoker, I feel that it could still be dangerous for these people. I am not opposed to allowing smokers of e-cigarettes to smoke within 25 feet of campus buildings, but I imagine that it would make it harder to enforce this rule for real cigarettes (since that rule isn't enforced very well.)
93	I don't want to smell anytime of anything in the buildings. Take your addiction outside of the classroom, I don't want to have to deal with it.
94	I doubt that the vapor they create is as dangerous as secondhand smoke, because nothing is burning.
95	I feel that although it isn't affecting people around it is just promoting smoking.
96	I have a friend who has an e-cigarette and they really don't smell and there is no smoke. Because they don't smell and there's no smoke, it shouldn't be treated like normal cigarettes.
97	I have an e-cig and find it a great way to cut back on actually smoking. There is no flame, combustion, or second hand smoke so I can use it in my dorm room without violating any rules. I do think that smoking an e-cig should be prohibited while in class. While I have never done it, many people ask if I could. I think, however, that it would be extremely distracting to the students as well as disrespectful of the teacher. Despite what others may say, the e-cig is the best anti-smoking aid I've found, Goucher needs to think long and hard about how to treat it. If it is to be treated just like a regular smoke, well I might as well go back to smoking real cigarettes.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
98	I have an e-cig and find it a great way to cut back on actually smoking. There is no flame, combustion, or second hand smoke so I can use it in my dorm room without violating any rules. I do think that smoking an e-cig should be prohibited while in class. While I have never done it, many people ask if I could. I think, however, that it would be extremely distracting to the students as well as disrespectful of the teacher. Despite what others may say, the e-cig is the best anti-smoking aid I've found, Goucher needs to think long and hard about how to treat it. If it is to be treated just like a regular smoke, well I might as well go back to smoking real cigarettes.
99	I have asthma and am allergic to the smell of cigarettes- second hand smoke makes me sick. I've been around e-cigarettes and they're still really yucky. I don't want to have to be around them when I need to get in to or out of my classes. Please, please, please let them follow the same rules!!!
100	I have been around them and they still smell. Give smokers an inch...they'll take a mile. At least there are no butts, but they are still addictive.
101	I have health and safety concerns and it just looks bad, in my opinion.
102	I have mixed feelings on this- I don't want to encourage smoking, as we all know the dangers of smoking to individuals' health. However, as long as e-cigarettes do not endanger the health of others (especially those who choose not to smoke), I'm not opposed to their use. If individuals choose to put themselves at harm, that's their choice- I am more concerned with those who don't wish to be poisoned by cigarette smoke.
103	I personally do not want to inhale the chemicals in the vapor that may leak out of the cigarette device or may be exhaled from the "smoker's" lungs.
104	I think I need more information to make this decision. That is, do e-cigarettes have a harmful secondhand smoke-like byproduct? If so, then they should be treated like normal cigarettes even though they do not appear to be a fire hazard. However, if the chemicals they produce only affect the user, it makes sense that they should be an exception.
105	I think a big issue with prohibiting cigarettes in public areas is the smell and unhealthy fumes released by cigarettes that other non-smokers generally (and understandably) don't want to be forced to be around. These E-cigarettes don't seem to have much of an effect on those around them, so I don't believe they should be covered by the anti-smoking policy
106	I think a consistent policy covering all forms of cigarettes is important.
107	I think e-cigarettes should be covered by the college smoking policy because of the concerns raised by the FDA's document.
108	I think that people who don't want to be exposed to cigarettes shouldn't have to be, no matter what form the cigarette takes. We have enough problems with people not following the smoking policies, let's not give them another excuse to smoke near the buildings.
109	I think that the visual impact of the e-cigarette is too confusing, looking so much like a real one. If they are easily distinguishable and/or if people become used to the idea of e-cigarettes, my reasoning could be wrong.
110	I think that there should be a policy to cover this type of cigarette, but since it does not produce smoke or have an odor, the policy should be a little more lenient. My only concern would be that if people are allowed to smoke them wherever they want, it would seem as though the school was not taking a proper stance on smoking. Just because these e-cigarettes aren't as damaging to one's health, they still promote smoking. I'm a smoker myself and I believe that Goucher, as an academic institution, should do what they can to curb its students smoking habits, real cigarettes or not.
111	I think that there should be the same restrictions for all people who are smoking or "smoking" something, because it would unfairly give priviledge to people who can afford such luxury.
112	I think that they should be able to be used in your dorm room only. Nowhere public where people potentially cannot avoid the person smoking an e-cigarette. Even if they are harmless.
113	I think these would be as offensive to non-smokers as regular cigarettes.
114	I think they should be covered by the college smoking policy only if they emit any gases or smells. Otherwise, they are not harming people as second hand smoke does.
115	I think we have an obligation to discourage smoking whenever and wherever possible. These pseudo-cigarettes, if they contain nicotine (one of the fastest acting narcotics on the market) they are just as addictive as conventional cigarettes. The big question here is - why are we even entertaining this idea?
116	I thought of a few reasons it might be a good thing to allow e-cigs on campus. And after thinking about it, I disagree with all of them. Heres why: 1. There is a negative stigma created surrounding smoking. This is a

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
116	<p>GOOD thing because smoking is bad for you. Even if the e-cigarettes aren't as harmful to you as regular ones, they still ARE cigarettes AND APPEAR to be cigarettes to people walking by and to friends of people using them. Allowing them on campus sends the message that they are ok. This would lessen the negative stigma around them that has lowered the smoking rate so dramatically (e.g. from the 60s - 80s when it was socially acceptable). Because it would "depend" on whether it was a regular cig or a e-cig, people could not categorize people who are smoking as "real" smokers just by looking. Therefore - the image of a smoker would no longer be as negative. This would significantly reduce their negative stereotype (NOT GOOD!).</p> <p>2. Allowing e-cigs on campus would not help aid anyone in quitting. e-cigs are NOT PROVEN OR ACCEPTED to be a cessation aid by ANYONE including the Gov. So by smoking them, people are not necessarily becoming un-addicted to cigs.</p> <p>3. I am not saying that e-cigs are not better than reg cigs. They seem to be better than cigs, and could be a cessation aid, so it's probably good if more people switch to them from cigs. HOWEVER, it is likely that by deeming them "ok", that an increased amount of people will START smoking e-cigs who were formally NOT SMOKERS AT ALL. Also, anyone who has ever heard a smoker respond to if they know its bad for them knows that people who choose to smoke are not primarily concerned with the health consequences. SO because they have this attitude towards their health decisions (not worrying about them, or just doing it anyways), allowing them to smoke e-cigs would probably INCREASE the frequency they smoke ("if its not as bad, I can do it twice as much"). If you don't believe me, think about how Light cigarettes brands have successfully built their brand on this mentality. Or, just talk with a smoker about how many cigarettes they would smoke if it wasn't as bad for them.</p> <p>4. We don't know much about them yet, so we shouldn't risk it!</p>
117	I will e-mail you another article regarding safety and legal issues surrounding e-cigarettes
118	I wish to discourage smoking, because it is unhealthy for the smoker.
119	I would ban e-cigarettes only if they produce smoke or smells that would irritate or compromise the health of nearby non-smokers. While smoking is bad for the user, it is a personal choice; however, when someone's personal choice negatively affects others, control of that activity is warranted. Also, how is smokeless tobacco treated (dip)? If that is banned, then it seems that all forms of tobacco should be banned. Otherwise don't regulate it. But if we are concerned about increasing health care costs (especially since our health care costs are more than the premiums collected, then maybe we would care about the compromised health of smokers. Heck, ban them!
120	I would think that it would have the same effect as a regular cigarette. Question - is smoke generated from this device - which I would think cause second hand smoke, like regular cigarettes.
121	If a student were to see one of the ECigarettes being smoked by a building it could give the impression that smoking is OK by buildings. I think that benches should be placed 25 feet away from buildings for smokers so that the smoking by buildings becomes less of an issue all together.
122	If e-cigarettes are allowed, and "real" cigarettes are not, it will be highly difficult to catch people smoking not-allowed cigarettes near buildings, it will encourage smoking activity near buildings, it is unattractive and disruptive.
123	If e-cigarettes emit vapor, can others in the vicinity inhale the vapor like second hand smoke? It sounds as though the safety of inhaling the vapor is in question.
124	If it emits a vapor, it should be considered the same as smoke from a real cigarette.
125	If it looks as though people are smoking, everyone will make the erroneous assumption that smoking is permitted. It's just opening up a whole new can of worms.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
126	If there is any odor what so ever they shouldn't be allowed. They will also require the person to spend time away from their job/area/desk/phone which I feel is a big problem around here. If a non smoker went outside the same amount of time some of these smokers do during the day to do nothing that has anything to do with their job this would be a big problem. Nicotine is considered a drug so why do we even allow any of it on campus when no other drug is allowed. I can't wait to see how businesses/institutions will handle medicinal marijuana when employees start going outside to smoke their "prescription medicine".
127	If there is still smoke, it is probably still harmful and disgusting to walk through and will stink up buildings just like regular cigarettes.
128	If these chemicals are in these cigarettes, and I understand they are, how does the fact that they are run by battery for ignition instead of fire any kind of difference. I, for one, have to be in these vehicles and am very sensitive to any kind of smoke. To me, there is smoke emitted, so these should be banned as well.
129	If they are blowing smoke out into the open air in any way, shape, or form it should be covered by the college smoking policy. It is hard enough already to make students follow the 25 feet rule as it is.
130	If they are emitting the same harmful chemicals, then they should be included in the policy. It might be difficult to distinguish whether someone is smoking an e-cigarette or a real one.
131	If they contain nicotine and emit fumes, they are potentially harmful to people with allergies, people who do not like the smell, or want to avoid advertising the use of cigarettes.
132	If they contain nicotine and it's being inhaled then shouldn't it be treated like regular cigarettes? I think so.
133	If they contain some of the chemicals that regular cigarettes then the people should respect the boundaries already laid out for those that do not smoke and do not want to be around the chemicals.
134	If they create any nicotine or chemical-laden vapor, others may be exposed. Not only the user, but also passers-by and especially those with allergies may be impacted.
135	If they don't make second hand smoke/ a smokey environment, then I don't see a problem with them. If people are allowed to use other smokeless tobacco products like chewing tobacco that make them spit into a bottle, which I have seen people using in buildings, then they should definitely be allowed to use this thing
136	If they emit smoke or fumes that can be detrimental to others around, i think they should be covered by the college smoking policy. If there is no "second hand smoke", then they should be free to use in vehicles and close to buildings. I don't think they have a place in the classroom however.
137	If they expose others to smoke, then the same smoking policy should apply.
138	If they have nicotine and they produce vapor then they are similar to cigarettes. if you permit these then what is to stop students from arguing that regular cigarettes should be allowed? and if they look similar to cigarettes then how can you really tell the difference? it is almost a double standard
139	If they produce any sort of smell that is like cigarettes, or any sort of smoke or anything like that, then they shouldn't be permitted near the doors. If they would have the same effect on people standing around them as drinking a drink would, then yes, they should be permitted. But if the stink, then no.
140	If they resemble cigarettes exactly and are allowed, how will people be able to distinguish the two? I don't believe they will be able to differentiate and then people will start abusing the policy by smoking *real* cigarettes. Please don't allow the use of e-cigarettes.
141	If they still create a vapor that smells like regular smoke and it can affect people the way second-hand smoke does, then yes, it should go under the normal smoking policy.
142	If used, there will be arguments because smokers will debate the privilege given to them.
143	If you have the balls to use on of those stupid things, You should be able to do it wherever you want.
144	If you want to get technical about it, it isn't smoking so it seems problematic to limit this under the smoking policy. You might then have to limit nicotine gum or patches, too. At the same time, the whole thing seems sorta distasteful, so there is always the possibility of writing another policy limiting this behavior
145	In all fairness they don't produce smoke, which is the primary reason for the policy. They're not a fire hazard and they won't bother nonsmokers or people with asthma/allergies. On the other hand, they're still a health risk, so I wouldn't want to encourage people to use them unless there's evidence that they're (however marginally) safer than cigarettes.
146	It as cigarette in the name...therefore it should be treated as such.
147	It condones smoking. The diseases associated with addiction and nicotine should be discouraged.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
148	It creates a vapor that could affect others.
149	It is helpful in quitting smoking in some cases and the issue about attracting young kids doesn't really apply with college students since they're all legal.
150	It is not proven that e-cigarettes do not have 2nd-hand dangers. Nor can I be an advocate for behaviors that allow one to knowingly inhale carcinogens.
151	It is still a form of smoking. Once you open the door to allowing this type of smoking, other devices that are developed in the future will raise the same questions. No allowances should be made for any method of smoking.
152	It is still a health issue
153	It isn't actually smoking. There are no dangers to bystanders, the "vapor" mist dissipating almost instantly, and being composed mostly of harmless propylene glycol anyway. All health concerns are directed to those using the e-cigs themselves, which makes "vaping" completely a matter of personal choice which has no effect on bystanders except on an appearance and psychological basis.
154	It isn't a cigarette.
155	It seems to me that any kind of device that emits any kind of smoke or vapor could potentially cause health concerns for others. Why open this door? I feel that it is obvious that these devices should be placed in the same category as traditional cigarettes. NO SMOKING - period. We should evaluate the marijuana useage as well - or maybe just enforce the already existing policy.
156	It should be allowed because the idea behind them is that they do not create any smoke or any obnoxious odors and therefore would not bother anyone who is a non-smoker or who just doesn't like the smell. If students are allowed to use them inside their dorm rooms, it would not cause any harm to anyone who lives with or near that person.
157	It still looks like smoking so who's to know if someone is smoking a real cigarette or an e-cigarette? Better to just have one rule that applies to all rather than create confusion.
158	It still produces vapors and it still contains nicotine. E-cigarettes should fall under the college smoking policy.
159	It took years to get this policy in place. I see no need to alter it.
160	It used to be said that second hand smoke was not dangerous, we now know differently through research and facts. Please let us not make assumptions about e-cigarettes. Smoking is smoking is smoking. You are inhaling toxins and someday we'll hear of second-hand toxins from e-cigarettes. The policy should remain as is, no exceptions.
161	It will be too hard to identify (from a distance) who is smoking e-cigarettes and who is smoking (real) cigarettes, so until there is rigorous, replicated research supporting the therapeutic effectiveness of this tool (and its safety!), I see no reason to make enforcing the smoking policy more difficult.
162	It will still smell like a cigarette, therefore it could cause other people to become physically ill or at the very least uncomfortable.
163	It's bad for people's health but it only hurts them and is not infringing on others rights. The no smoking policy was put in force because if people smoke it bothers others.
164	It's not even real smoking--It's better than a cigarette! Why not allow it?
165	It's not smoking!
166	It's not that serious.
167	It's only vapor, not actual cigarette smoke, which is being emitted; that's not to say that students should just be allowed to puff on them in class, but they are not half as annoying as conventional cigarettes.
168	It's still nicotine being inhaled.
169	It's still smoking, and should be discouraged in any way possible.
170	It's vapor, not smoke.
171	It's the point of the matter. I personally do not condone smoking and I do not want to be around it. I do not want people to think it is okay to smoke in a classroom or in my dorm. If this is allowed people are going to push the limit and want to smoke regular cigarettes in buildings.
172	Let's keep the boundary clear and consistent. The point of using the vapor cigarettes indoors is for shock value, not because it's necessary.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
173	Like cigarettes, they can't be healthy.
174	My dad uses an e-cigarette and what is exhaled after using the device is not smoke, it is vapor. it is the vapor that is used in inhalers. Inhalers can be used inside, why not e-cigarettes? I can think of one reason why not; it may be a confusing thing to see someone using a cigarette like thing inside a building. the vapor is harmless though and it would not be strange to me.the amount of nicotine received from one inhalation is more than that from an actual cigarette so the amount of time spent "smoking" an ecigarette is less than a real one.
175	My understanding is that the total indoor nonsmoking policy at Goucher is mainly a function of the insurance evaluation a few years ago that brought the remaining few indoor smoking areas in line with the rest of campus. While I find tobacco use personally distasteful, a cigarette sans flame doesn't produce secondhand smoke and doesn't pose the same safety risk as a traditional cigarette or, presumably, the same insurance cost. My assumption is that, like legal use of chewing tobacco or alcohol, they wouldn't be allowed while class is in session, but I see no harm in allowing them inside dorms, faculty offices, or other private spaces.
176	Nicotine addiction is gross, whether or not it produces smoke or just vapor
177	Nicotine is addictive and destructive to an individual's health. Furthermore it's the first step to old fashion smoking.
178	Nicotine is an addictive substance and regarding the health risk to others little research has been done regarding the vapor and its second hand consequences
179	Nicotine should be treated like the addictive substance that it is, regardless of how it is ingested. Not to mention the health risks to others, although I do not know whether or not these "e-cigs" emit toxins to the air the same way regular cigarettes do. Unfortunately, the smoking policy does not stop people from smoking in banned areas and the policy is extremely poorly enforced making people with smoke allergies or asthma constantly sick. Smoking should be illegal and the smoking policy on campus needs to be strictly enforced.
180	No cigarettes. Period. They're too new and untested to know for sure if they're really safe for the user (FDA agrees), so it's reasonable to wonder if they're safe for other people to be around. And what the hell is a "smoke like vapor" anyway?
181	No more toxic smoke. They still smoke by buildings.
182	No noxious cloud of smoke. Let them get their fix, there's no fire, no scent. I do not smoke, they don't bother me.
183	Not a good healthy practice for smoker and non smokers.
184	Okay, my real answer is "I don't know, because you didn't provide enough information for me to answer this question." Here's what you didn't tell me: 1. Do these devices give off an unpleasant odor, such as do tobacco cigarettes, therefore making them unsuitable for use in shared public spaces?, and 2. Do these devices give off any sort of carcinogenic vapors, therefore making them unsuitable for use in shared public spaces? These would be the reasons for prohibiting tobacco cigarette usage. If the e-cigarettes don't do these things, there is no reason to prohibit their use. Could you perhaps send out a follow-up message and survey giving this information? I think it will make for a more reasoned reaction from the survey responders.
185	People should not have to smell the smoke of others, espically asthmatics. And students are still smoking in front of doors which makes it difficult to enter when you have to inhale smoke.
186	People will get annoyed/confused if it's inside. Also, I don't think they're FDA approved yet.
187	Personally, I adore the days when an individual could smoke a cigarette at work, in a restaurant, on a plane, and even in school. I think smoking a cigarette looks cool and attractive, and if you don't agree with me, watch an episode of "Mad Men" it will convince you. That being said, I don't smoke. Mostly because I'm cheap, and the health effects scare me. I mean the health effects of directly smoking, not second hand smoke. I have never seen conclusive scientific evidence to indicate that second hand smoke is all that deadly. However, e-cigarettes don't emit smoke, so not even the fear of second hand smoke is a problem, which is the entire logic behind anti-smoking laws and smoking bans. I have always been against all anti-smoking laws because they violate the natural rights of property owners. If an individual wants to allow smoking on his property whether a college, office, restaurant, or plane he is fully able to, unless the government violates his rights. As I see it, it's completely up to Goucher College to decide whether or not they want to allow e-cigarette users the ability to smoke in and around buildings. However, personally, I would absolutely allow them because I think smoking is cool and it gets a bad rap. For

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
187	example, everyone rages against smoking but not drinking alcohol when drinking alcohol actually impedes the mind, while smoking does not. A smoker has full mental capacity, a drinker does not. Yet smoking gets the bad rap because people seem to be more concerned with the body then the mind. It's completely up to you guys, but, come on, cut smokers some slack.
188	Potentially harmful to people near by who are not e-smoking.
189	Prohibiting use of electronic cigarettes in buildings would have a discouraging effect upon their use, which is in the health interests of the community as a whole.
190	Recent studies have come out saying that the substances in the vapor of e-Cigarettes still have carcinogenic effects. I'm not sure if that can be transmitted secondhand, but I don't want to risk it.
191	Seems like it would have the same effect on non-smokers as regular cigarettes to me.
192	Smoke isn't the only reason for cigarettes to not be allowed in the halls; it's also the smell and impact on others. While there is no smoke with e-cigarettes, I think it's best to keep consistent with all our policies and e-cigarettes are cigarettes.
193	Smoking (whether the cigarettes are real or not) brings down the level of academia and class.
194	Smoking cigarettes of any kind isn't healthy. People are putting toxins inside of their system and by breathing them out into the world in turn affects those around them. If people smoke those things they shouldn't do it near buildings period. It's still a cigarette and should be treated as such.
195	Smoking is an incredibly harmful habit. I do not think the use of e-cigarettes, or any other form of smoking, should be supported by an education institution.
196	Smoking is bad for you, and the college shouldn't condone this behavior in any form, even digital!
197	Smoking is smoking - just because cigarettes have gone more high tech does not mean they are any less irritating to nonsmokers
198	Smoking is smoking and is in violation of the policy. Don't change for anything.
199	Smoking is smoking. Regardless of the fact that there is no butt left behind, the chemicals in the vapor are still there in all their glorious health effects. Kids with asthma and other allergies to smoke will still be affected, so if you're going to smoke, stay off of the main path and enjoy it there.
200	Still has smoke like vapor
201	Still releases dangerous chemicals.
202	THEY ARE STILL CIGARETTES AND BURN TOXINS INTO THE AIR.
203	That's absurd. It's been proven that e-cigarettes aren't dangerous to people around the user of the device. It doesn't expel any smoke or harmful vapors when used. Thus, placing it under the college cigarette smoking policy is completely ridiculous.
204	The "smoke" that is emitted is not really smoke; it does not smell like smoke and does not cause the breathing problems that smoke causes.
205	The FDA doesn't think they're safe, so why should we encourage it?
206	The ads that claim they are "safe" because they are safer than conventional cigarettes are misleading. It's the old "getting hit with a brick is safer than getting hit with five bricks" argument.
207	The concept is the same, and Goucher should not be promoting the use of e-cigarettes. They are just as bad as regular cigarettes. Goucher doesn't enforce the rules about smoking cigarettes already, so not covering e-cigarettes in the smoking policy would make things much worse. I personally am disappointed that Goucher does not enforce the college smoking policy and am bothered by the clouds of smoke I walk through to and from classes.
208	The e-cigarette encourages people to quit smoking. If it is allowed in all arenas of Goucher College, there will surely be a craze over the initial novelty but (I believe) soon enough, the amount of discarded cigarettes and students smoking will decrease on campus. I suggest the use of e-cigarettes highly, mostly for the health of Goucher students and the beautiful environment of this school.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
209	The e-cigarettes are smokeless, therefore cause no harm by being used inside. If Goucher were to make their use forbidden it would be making smoking a moral issue. It is not Goucher's duty to stigmatize any one group of people and by banning the e-cigarette for indoor use this would be a blatant statement on their view on smokers.
210	The effects are still unknown; therefore, they could cause problems to others.
211	The entire policy should be changed to allow smoking ONLY in a few (3 or 4) designated places on campus.
212	The harder it is for nicotine- addicts to get a fix on their addiction, the better. Maybe the inconvenience will stimulate some to quit! Save lives :-)
213	The issue surrounding smoking near others is second hand smoke, and smell. Since neither of these is an issue with e-cigs I think they should be permitted. But really, if people are going to use these, they should be made fun of mercilessly.
214	The main reason for having this policy is to prevent the effects of second hand smoke. The e-cigarettes do not have second hand smoke and are safe to smoke everywhere. It doesn't harm me, so they can do what they want.
215	The only logical complaint for denying smoking anywhere are the harmful effects of second-hand smoke, and litter. E-Cigarettes do not produce second-hand smoke, nor do they produce litter, and thus should be able to be used in any situation.
216	The problem with smoking is that it introduces smoke/chemicals into the air, smells bad, and creates litter. E-cigarettes don't do that.
217	The same guidelines should apply since e-cigarettes mimick real cigaretttes.
218	The smoke still has to be exhaled, causing second-hand smoke exposure for non-smokers.
219	The two things that bother people most about cigarettes are the smoke and the smell. Now, I have never been around a person using an e-cigarette, but it seems like they will have the same kind of smell as regular cigarettes as well as the obvious vapor. I suppose that if the vapor did not smell nasty and wasn't bothersome to people, then I change my answer to number 2 to no. Otherwise, they should be treated like normal cigarettes.
220	The user is still going to be exhaling and I would guess that some of the original chemical will also be exhaled. People nearby will be exposed to the exhalant, which is basically the same issue as second hand smoke. So for the safety of those around the user, I think e-cigarettes should follow the same rules as normal cigarettes.
221	The way I understood it, it seems to be the same as a normal cigarette.
222	There are multiple facets to the reasoning behind the restriction on smoking other than the ashes, but the vapors as well. I would rather not be around e-cigarettes personally.
223	There are numerous (!) reasons why E-cigarettes should not be allowed in or near Goucher buildings or vehicles. Among them: -There are health concerns, as the creators of the survey acknowledge by adding a link to the FDA website. -E-cigarettes are marketed as a safer alternative to "normal" cigarettes. There is a good chance that someone would try e-cigarettes thinking that they are safe (especially if they are semi-sanctioned by the university), become addicted to the nicotine in the cigarettes, and switch to the real thing. -Passersby likely cannot tell the difference between someone who is smoking a normal cigarette and an e-cigarette. Inflating the visibility of smoking on campus, whether or not that smoke is from e-cigarettes, will likely encourage other students to consider smoking. -I imagine that one line of argument in favor of these e-cigarettes is that students who smoke could switch to these "safer" cigarettes if they were allowed on campus. On the other hand, I argue, e-cigarettes could easily become a new fad, where former non-smokers use the product just because everyone else is. I would hate to see e-cigarettes as a cool new thing on campus. -Most importantly in my mind, smoking is gross and unappealing. I don't think people should smoke because it has poor effects on one's health, but I also don't support smoking because, to be frank, it just looks dumb. For a college campus, this is especially problematic. If I was a prospective freshman (or the parent of one) and I came to a school where everyone seemed to be smoking, including in buildings, I would cross that school off of my list. I would not want to go to a place that allowed smoking (even if it is "safe") inside its buildings nor would I want to spend four years with a group of students who make such bad decisions. I have no data to back this up, but I also doubt that schools similar to Goucher allow the use of e-cigarettes in campus buildings (and with good reason). To keep up with our colleagues and to maintain the peaceful, clean ambiance on campus, Goucher should not allow the use of e-cigarettes in its buildings and their environs. Finally, I'd like to say that I'm a little

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
223	<p>confused and disappointed that Goucher is even seeking the public's ideas on this matter. I say this because I believe that the administration could make a more informed decision if it were to rely upon its own research and discretion rather than that of a largely uninformed campus population. I also say this because the very nature of this survey (asking if we think it'd be okay to use these cigarettes on campus) suggests that these cigarettes may be acceptable or safe and, in that way, might give someone who had never smoked before (whether because of health concerns or simply not wanting to have to go elsewhere to smoke) the go-ahead to light up. That, surely, is not something that Goucher wants to be responsible for. As such, Goucher should nip this idea in the bud (or butt, as it may be).</p>
224	<p>There are numerous (!) reasons why E-cigarettes should not be allowed in or near Goucher buildings or vehicles. Among them: -There are health concerns, as the creators of the survey acknowledge by adding a link to the FDA website. -E-cigarettes are marketed as a safer alternative to "normal" cigarettes. There is a good chance that someone would try e-cigarettes thinking that they are safe (especially if they are semi-sanctioned by the university), become addicted to the nicotine in the cigarettes, and switch to the real thing. -Passersby likely cannot tell the difference between someone who is smoking a normal cigarette and an e-cigarette. Inflating the visibility of smoking on campus, whether or not that smoke is from e-cigarettes, will likely encourage other students to consider smoking. -Though those students who smoke could switch to these "safer" cigarettes if they were allowed on campus, e-cigarettes could easily become a new fad, where former non-smokers use the product just because everyone else is. I would hate to see e-cigarettes as a cool new thing on campus. -Most importantly in my mind, smoking is gross and unappealing. I don't think people should smoke because it has poor effects on one's health, but I also don't support smoking because, to be frank, it just looks dumb. For a college campus, this is especially problematic. If I was a prospective freshman (or the parent of one) and I came to a school where everyone seemed to be smoking, including in buildings, I would cross that school off of my list. I would not want to go to a place that allowed smoking (even if it is "safe") inside its buildings nor would I want to spend four years with a group of students who make such bad decisions. I have no data to back this up, but I also doubt that schools similar to Goucher allow the use of e-cigarettes in campus buildings (and with good reason). To keep up with our colleagues and to maintain the peaceful, clean ambiance on campus, Goucher should not allow the use of e-cigarettes in its buildings and their environs. Finally, I'd like to say that I'm a little confused and disappointed that Goucher is even seeking the public's ideas on this matter. I say this because I believe that the administration could make a more informed decision if it were to rely upon its own research and discretion rather than that of a largely uninformed campus population. I also say this because the very nature of this survey (asking if we think it'd be okay to use these cigarettes on campus) suggests that these cigarettes may be acceptable or safe and, in that way, might give someone who had never smoked before (whether because of health concerns or simply not wanting to have to go elsewhere to smoke) the go-ahead to light up. That, surely, is not something that Goucher wants to be responsible. As such, Goucher should nip this idea in the bud (or butt, as it may be).</p>
225	<p>There are still chemicals (maybe even nicotine) released from the e-cig, thus should be controlled. Also it isn't that difficult to just not smoke in buildings.</p>
226	<p>There are those of us who are former smokers and are trying to quit, and being bombarded by smokers everywhere is hard enough--but seeing them inside buildings and right outside doorways can make the temptation even worse. Even though they aren't real cigarettes, they look like them at 1st glance, and this can cause an immediate association, resulting in the same reaction as if it were real.</p>
227	<p>There are two pillars to most no-smoking policies, I think. The first is to protect non-smokers from second-hand smoke. The second is to encourage smokers to break their addiction to a habit that is injurious to their health and a habit that contributes to the high cost of health care borne by everyone. Nicotine is an addictive drug and as such its use need not be encouraged. Suggesting people use e-cigarettes at a respectable distance from campus buildings seems a reasonable accommodation.</p>
228	<p>There is no combustion hazard and no smoke. I think they are a bad idea and just as harmful as cigarettes. But the college shouldn't regulate health habits</p>
229	<p>There is no need for a student or faculty member to be smoking in classrooms or inside the buildings. If they need to satisfy a craving, they should excuse themselves and wait for an appropriate time and place.</p>
230	<p>There is no risk of secondhand smoke and also, the cigarettes do not pose as a fire hazard.</p>
231	<p>There is vapor and odor that is "barely noticeable" according to the E-cigarette web site. "Barely noticeable" isn't good enough for me to support their use close to or in buildings. (from http://www.ecigaretteschoice.com/pages/FAQ%27s.html)</p>

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
232	There isn't enough data about these devices to know conclusively that they don't harm bystanders. That's my biggest objection. A secondary concern is that granting e-cigarettes a special exemption maybe be tantamount to endorsement of a product that is likely to be toxic to the user. Students are over 18 and have a right to make bad choices, but Goucher, as an institution, also has the right to define itself.
233	There's no second hand smoke generated by e-cigarettes, which is the reason for the current smoking policy.
234	These are still cigarettes, Goucher should not promote or tolerate the use of substances that can harm members of the Goucher community.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
235	These cigarettes still contain nicotine and other harmful chemicals that are in the smoke that these cigarettes give off so they should be covered by the college smoking policy. These special cigarettes are still detrimental to one's health, both to the smoker and to anyone secondhand.
236	These things are for morons, frankly, and we shouldn't be encouraging our students to be stupid. Secondly, this are obviously a health hazard that Goucher should not be condoning. There can be no mistaking that this is a drug-delivery system, plain and simple. It should covered by the alcohol and drug policy rather than the smoking policy, and should not be tolerated on campus at all.
237	They are basically the same item. The only difference is one employs combustion and the other does not.
238	They are clearly still harmful to the user, and it isn't clear to me that the exhale does not contain harmful 'vapor' for the non-users.
239	They are detrimental to students health, so Goucher should not encourage such self-destructive behavior by allowing them in or near buildings. If it were up to me, cigarette-smoking would be banned altogether, but I know that is not possible. However, we should do whatever we can to discourage the use of ANY kind of tobacco products on campus, regardless of whether they are electronic or claim to be less harmful.
240	They are harmless.
241	They are not actual cigarettes. Part of why I assume cigarettes are banned in these places is for safety, cleanliness, and health. E-cigarettes do not pose these threats and the only health risk is to the person who decides to smoke. Not including e-cigs could lead to some students smoking in class, which I think would be a huge distraction. Maybe smoking in classrooms should be banned? I'm not sure how to fix that.
242	They are not burning.
243	They are still a health risk and continue nicotine addiction; they normalize and promote acceptance of smoking; it would be difficult to determine if people are smoking e or real cigarettes; I don't want to have to be around smoke of any kind.
244	They are still cigarettes and they still contain nicotine.
245	They aren't actually cigarettes.
246	They aren't real cigarettes. The second hand "smoke" of an e-cigarette can't do damage.
247	They contain many of the same dangerous chemicals present in regular cigarettes.
248	They contain nicotine, so they are essentially still cigarettes.
249	They contain nicotine, which means that they are unhealthy.
250	They could still contain harful chemicals in second hand smoke. If they contain just water capor, I don't see any reason to ban them.
251	They create second hand smoke
252	They do not emit smoke
253	They do not pose a fire hazard or a health hazard to other people who are nearby. If the argument to not allow it within vehicles/buildings is that it is a drug, then we may as well include caffeine under that policy as well.
254	They do not present a health hazard to other students. They also have the potential to help people quit smoking or at least replace the bulk of their cigarettes with e-cigarettes.
255	They do not produce second hand smoke, so are not harmful to those around them, they produce vapor not smoke.
256	They don't cause any odor, secondhand smoke, etc. and people use them to help quit smoking. Obviously no one would be using them in class or in cafeterias, but I see no reason to have them stand 25 feet from buildings.
257	They don't create real smoke, and don't pose a threat to the health of other students and administrators.
258	They don't create the typical smoke that a cigarette does, and the vapor doesn't smell as bad as regular cigarettes. They also do not pose a fire safety threat since there is no match or lighter involved to light the cigarette.
259	They don't have the risks associated with conventional cigarettes for second hand smoke or fire harzards.
260	They don't smell and are only harmful to the person smoking them
261	They don't smell or make smoke; those are the two reasons all these rules have been made in the first place. This really shouldn't even be an issue.
262	They encourage bad habits/feed addiction.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
263	They enforce a deadly habit.
264	They expel an almost odorless water vapor that looks like smoke, but isn't.
265	They have nicotine and smell like cigarettes. The smoke could be harmful to those around the smoker. Currently, people follow the no smoking laws about smokefree buildings and cars. However, once you open the door to smoking in any form, persons will violate the smoking policy.
266	They have no effect on other people around. It is purely for one's own personal needs. nicotine gum and patches are permitted inside buildings, so why not e-cigarettes? the only difference is that it is visible that one is taking a cigarette substitute, however it still does the exact same thing as any other cigarette replacement.
267	They have no smell and no harmful secondhand smoke. Should we penalize people who are trying to quit smoking?
268	They have not been studied to the extent to know if they are safe or not, according to the FDA article above. Even if the second hand smoke is not harmful, most people (including myself) do not want to be surrounded by any kind of smoke all of the time or have my hall or building smell like smoke. It should never be okay for people to smoke inside, even if the cigarette is battery operated. Some people have extreme allergies to smoke and it could interfere with their everyday lives.
269	They have the same effect as regular cigarettes--to allow them would be to condone them. We should be concerned not only about the annoyance factor of having to walk through a cloud of someone else's smoke while having to get to class but also about the affects of nicotine on the bodies and minds of our students, faculty and staff.
270	They look like cigarettes and their use may make it difficult to enforce the no-smoking policy.
271	They probably still contain harmful secondhand smoke and smell bad.
272	They probably still emit some sort of odor.
273	They produce a "vapor" (smoke) that contains nicotine, which may or may not impact non-users.
274	They produce vapor which could be inhaled by other people. In fact, that vapor contains dangerous chemicals, like cigarettes. They will not be allowed in my classrooms or office, no matter what Goucher decides. I will leave any meeting at which one is being used.
275	They really do not leave a smell and if they do it is easy to get rid of. Although I only smoke occasionally and absolutely hate the smell of smoke, I do not think that the smell from these kinds of cigarette bother people as do regular cigarettes.
276	They reinforce a habit that is detrimental to ones health.
277	They release only water vapor - no scent, no second hand toxins. they only harm the person smoking them.
278	They represent a safer alternative to smoking actual cigarettes and they release odorless water vapor, not smoke. I think that if the smoking of e-cigarettes is encouraged or "allowed," students may make the healthy choice of switching to an e-cigarette and eventually quitting altogether.
279	They should be treated just like regular cigarettes. There are potential health risks, nicotine is still present, it is unhealthy and looks sketchy.
280	They simulate/encourage smoking, and they contain dangerous chemicals.
281	They smell right?
282	They still carry a health risk and cause odor.
283	They still contain Nicotine and other chemicals of a cigarette and they still emit a smoke, so most likely they will still smell like a cigarette and I choose not to smoke and I do not want to have to be forced to be in proximity to anyone who smokes whether it be a real cigarette or a fake one. It's their choice to smoke and if they want to smoke they can go somewhere else.
284	They still contain nicotine and other chemicals, so it may still put non-smoking students at risk.
285	They still contain nicotine.
286	They still give off smoke and have the chemicals.
287	They still have chemicals and smoke that others do not want to inhale or smell. Please keep them out of buildings
288	They still pollute the air and are just as dangerous and as unpleasant as cigarettes, and I do not want to inhale anyone's smoke or vapor.
289	They still smell like tobacco
290	They stink just like regular cigarettes.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
291	They too are toxic and should not be used on campus.
292	They would seem to have similar neegative health impacts on the person inhaling the smoke and possibly on the people who are in the vicinity also.
293	They're incredibly stupid.
294	They're typically used by people trying to quite so allowing use of them around campus is a good thing. Plus vapor isn't the same as the smoke normal cigarettes let off.
295	This is a personal issue or me. I am very alergic to cigarette smoke and don't need simulated smoke to be spread throughout the campus.
296	This is new enough that we don't know if it is safe - my main concern is buildup of residue in buildings (not unlike what happens with ordinary cigarettes) or second hand smoke that would potentially cause health problems for those who do not partake. If this is proven to not be an issue, then let them smoke wherever they want, as it isn't a fire hazard.
297	This is not an issue that should even be open to a vote, but an issue of individual rights. Bans on smoking conventional tobacco products is understandable given the tendence of smoke to travel and be inhaled by others who do not wish to smoke, but given that e-cigs are really only nicotine delivery vehicles, and don't release tar/nicotine into the atmosphere to be inhaled by others, it really shouldn't be the role of the college to become overly coddling, paternalistic, and do-good-eristic by having ANYTHING at all to say about it in terms of "policy". Puhleeze. How insulting and aggravating. Many of this nation's accomplishments came on the back of revenues (through sale and tax) of tobacco and related products. God bless Philip Morris, live and let live, and may the prudes at the college amuse themselves in whatever dull-minded ways they wish, but for the love of God, no more stupid (and largely unenforced and unenforcable) policies.
298	To continue promoting an ethic of health and cleanliness on campus, and to foster the development of a healthy lifestyle among the Goucher community.
299	Unfortunately, it is a rampant myth shared amongst Western societies that smokers ought penalized for smoking. Perhaps the college would do better to focus its efforts not on smokers (we have signs about drinking safely; why shouldn't I be allowed to smoke in my room if it is in a smoking dormitory? is this not meant to be a democratic institution?) but rather upon the companies that are responsible for the production of cigarettes. Bound by law, influencable by law. Why are the people always punished for the sins of greed? I call for a return of a smoking dormitory to Goucher's campus. If that seems unreasonable, then perhaps a smoking floor would do better - as long as it was the top floor of the building. I think it is reasonable to put such a question to the student body to vote upon.
300	Unless they produce smoke or an odor, they should be allowed because they aren't being bothersome to others.
301	Unless they're identifiable as something other than conventional cigarettes, people will think it is okay to smoke in the buildings with conventional cigarettes as well. If they are clearly identifiable, there should be no problem.
302	Until further details are known about potential harmful effects, the college smoking policy should remain in effect.
303	Until there is more information available about the harm of the product and the effect to those around it, it should be held to the same policy as conventional smoking.
304	Users still exhale the fumes that are seen as annoying and damaging by those that extol the college smoking policy. They are not smokeless cigarettes.
305	Vaporized chemicals can still effect others around. Asthmatics may find them particularly troubling and deserve a healthy environment in which to live and learn.
306	We have studies that show the harm in regular cigs. No long term studies here, but it IS nicotine which is highly addictive. Maybe we let e-cigs be outside and within 25 ft of buildings, keep regular cigs 25+ feet away because of secondhand smoke. I really don't want to have to deal with somebody else's addiction inside a building where I work. A blanket ban on indoor use of tobacco products makes sense to me.
307	We should be encouraging people NOT to smoke, no matter what the form. This sends a poor message to our youth.
308	We should do whatever we can to discourage any kind of smoking!
309	We should not be encouraging our young people to smoke whether it be real cigarettes or e-cigarettes.
310	We should not enable this unhealthy habit. It is not just about the second hand smoke or other hazards. Our campus community should set an example of healthy habits and choices.
311	What is the point of simulating a bad habit?
312	Whether or not tobacco is involved, I am sensitive to the nicotine and other chemicals inhaled through secondhand smoke. For public health reasons, smokers of e-cigarettes should adhere to the same policies of Goucher College.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
313	While I do not promote smoking in any form, e-cigarettes (or smokeless cigarettes) are not affecting the health of any other students around them. To my knowledge, the policy was set up so that smoke is not entering any campus building, and since the cigarettes are smokeless, they are not producing second-hand smoke. However, I do think Goucher needs to continue smoking cessation programs so that students can continue to be aware of the impact that cigarettes, whether smokeless or not, has on everyone's health and well-being.
314	While perhaps slightly better than cigarettes, these products are still not healthy. By excluding them from the rules, Goucher would be tacitly condoning their use. Bad idea.
315	While they don't contain tobacco, they still contain other chemicals that can be harmful to others. So, no, I don't want to be exposed to someone else's chemical addictions.
316	Why would Goucher support any type of policy that induces nicotine and other chemicals into any member of our community?
317	Yes if when the person exhales they are breathing out an agent that is harmful to others. I am not exactly sure how they work - but if in the exhaling of the e-cigarette only carbon dioxide comes out, I think it's fine. But if not, it should be taking into consideration.
318	all forms of smoking and nicotine ingestion should be banned
319	appearance is same as regular cigarettes
320	b/c if there is no smoke it would not affect anyone around them. I do not promote smoking but perhaps if they were allowed the smokers on campus could actually become healthier and not destroy their lungs while smoking regular cigarettes outside.
321	because a preventative way of smoking should be encouraged and an e cigarette is no more harmful than nicotine gum.
322	because it can still be detrimental to people through second-hand use. It is a disgusting habit and should be treated like one.
323	because it still emits a vapor that is not as bad as cigarette smoke, but would be a distraction to any normal environment. i also have issues with the behavior it breeds or allows and somewhat justifies the unhealthy habit...
324	because the smell of smoking can bother people with asthma or allergies and will provade through the buildngs and vehicles.
325	because they are not dangerous
326	because they still create vapors that can be inhaled by other people, i do not know too much but they sound disgusting and they still have cigarette in the name. Ugh if it was up to me i would ban these all together until the FDA say/tests them for product safety.
327	because they still emit a smoke and will only cause discrepancies between these cigarettes and real ones.
328	because they're dumb.
329	because they're not real cigarettes and they won't make the cars or buildings smell of cigarette smoke.
330	cigarettes are unhealthy and gross even if they are electronic
331	clinical studies about the safety of these products has not yet been submitted to the FDA.
332	do not know
333	doesn't emit smoke, emits vapor
334	e cigarettes are vaporizers. they vaporize the nicotine, and dont burn anything. they are almost smell less
335	e-cigarettes are essentially a vaporizer, creating no actual smoke. as it presents no danger to others, including fire hazards or second-hand smoke, e-cigarettes should not be covered by the same regulations that cover cigarettes.
336	e-cigarettes are similar to regular cigarettes. there is still smoke that is going to float around into others lungs and skink up rooms, clothes, and buildings. most of the people who are going to say that e-cigarettes should be aloud in buildings are going to be smokers because then it becomes an excuse to smoke inside and not follow the rules Goucher has set. there are people on this campus who have health issues with smoke of any kind. I know that there was a girl who lived in my building last year where it was a major health issue that could result in hospitalization. Even with that people still wont abide by the 25 foot rule that that needs to be addressed as well. if people aren't punished for that it will never change. the rule isn't enforced like it should be. to me a cigarette is a cigarette regardless of what form it comes in.
337	have.nt you taken enuff away from us.before you know it we won't be able to do anything any more.that is called communism.freedom where did it go.
338	health reasons

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
339	i think it will be easier to regulate and enforce smoking not in the rooms/near dorms. adding too much of a gray area
340	i think the only opposition to smoking is second hand smoke and fire hazard. I've used these before and neither of these apply.
341	if they don't emit smoke, any harmful substance, or any significant amount of gas or odor then I see no reason why they shouldn't be allowed anywhere.
342	if they don't produce second hand smoke or a strong smell, then no. they don't bother anyone else or impair their health, which is the issue with conventional cigarettes. However, if they DO produce smoke that is odorous or dangerous for those around it, then they should be treated as cigarettes. you should also include more information on these electric cigarettes for people to truly be able to answer the question.
343	it does not smell as bad as cigarettes and there is no real second had smoke. those are the two reasons why there is the 25 foot limit.
344	it is distracting to the greater goucher community
345	it is only vapor, it is not harmful
346	it is still bothersome
347	it still looks like as if you are smoking
348	it would be difficult to discern from a distance whether it was real or "e-smoke"
349	it would be nice to know if the vapor smells. if it smells like anything then it should be smoked outside.
350	it's called a ciggarete
351	its harmless vapor that is also mostly scentless. there is no reason to limit people trying to use this healthier alternative to smoking.
352	its not smoke....most people use them to get un-addicted. I think its a good thing and should be allowed anywhere.
353	modeling negative health behavior
354	no because they are allowed in other public buildings such as malls. they do not produce a flame so they are not a fire hazard and do not give off smoke.
355	no smoke. no smell. just vapor.
356	not enough info to decide. can other users get second hand vapor? does it stink up the place? students should be old enough to make the decision themselves as long as the e-cigs don't harm anyone else.
357	one is not being productive while fumbling with their e-cigarette! I would not want exposure to the "smoke-like" vapor! I can see why someone with a nicotine addiction would use these. Why anyone would START with these is beyond me. What will get marketed next?!?!?
358	only if they exhale smoke like real cigarettes, if they are not bothersome to others then I see no reason why not.
359	only if they smell, or put those chemicals back into the surrounding air
360	safer and cleaner
361	smoking is a health issue, so any product that contains nicotine is unhealthy.
362	smoking is smoking
363	smoking, whatever kind of cigarettes, encourages a negative mentality. the fumes reek too. smoking is too unhealthy for all those involved (smokers or those who walk past and inhale their fumes) for the 25 feet rule not to be extended to any and all cigarettes
364	still same dirty habit
365	the devices use a "vapor" to create the desired effect. If vapor is inhaled, then it is exhaled. second hand vapor - i am sure - isn't good for anybody.
366	there is no smoke. no second hand smoke. they are not REAL cigarettes and do not produce any of the offensive odors or smoky conditions of real cigarettes. plastic cigarettes are not new, and thusly neither is this dilemma. for instance, as a psych student i have done work with shepperd pratt. in many of their residential wards (and we must note that shepperd pratt and GBMC are non-smoking campuses, strictly) small plastic tubes are given to residents for use at their own discretion. these tubes contain small cartridges of nicotine and simply allow the residents to focus on psychological work, and excel without the worries often produced by nicotine withdraw. i would argue similarly that we take after their policies.

Goucher College 2009 E-Cigarette Survey

#	Why or Why Not?
367	there should be certain restrictions, but not the same as the smoking policy. I'd say dorm rooms are fine, but dining halls and academic buildings should be off limits. The 25 feet thing isn't necessary either since it's not a fire hazard. Plus the smoke from e-cig isn't overpowering--it's hardly noticeable. It's more for a cultural taboo to smoke inside that i say the academic buildings and dining halls are off limits.
368	they deliver an addictive drug, nicotine
369	they don't create smoke and barely any vapor, i've used one before
370	they don't produce the harmful second hand smoke produced by other things you smoke
371	they don't seem to create dangers for others.
372	they emitt water vapor, not hazardous smoke. the people who use them are people who are trying to quite, something goucher should encourage. they harm no one and are helpful to the person using them, why shouldnt they be used?
373	they look like normal cigarettes and smokers will be more inclined to smoke near a building
374	they present no danger to others nor create any odor that would pollute the air. if there was no nicotine in the water vapor, would that water vapor itself be banned? probably not. if nicotine is allowed to be consumed (not smoked), such as in gum form, then i don't see why an e-cig should be considered any more of a problem than the unsightliness of a mcdonalds bag.
375	they resemble conventional cigarettes and therefore promote smoking!
376	they should only allowed to be smoke outside, but it can be within 25 feet of a building
377	they were invented so people can smoke in planes, i think thye are smokeless and would not effect anyone around them. If the policy were to include them it would just make people angry and indignant. Also i don't think many college students are going to get them/use them regularly.
378	they were invented so people can smoke in planes, i think thye are smokeless and would not effect anyone around them. If the policy were to include them it would just make people angry and indignant. Also i don't think many college students are going to get them/use them regularly.
379	they're not real cigarettes!
380	you're stupid if you smoke cigarettes. you're even stupider if you smoke e-cigarettes. if you're going to kill yourself softly, best to use the real one.